

BEFORE THE  
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY (AmerenUE) ) DOCKET NO.  
 ) 02-0565  
Petition for Approval of )  
Decommissioning Riders, Cost )  
Estimate and Funding Level of )  
Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund. )

Springfield, Illinois  
December 20, 2002

Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 A.M.

BEFORE:

MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. DAVID B. HENNEN  
1901 Chouteau Avenue  
St. Louis, Missouri 63103

(Appearing on behalf of Union Electric  
Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, via  
teleconference)

MS. JANIS E. VON QUALEN  
527 East Capitol Avenue  
Springfield, Illinois 62701

(Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the  
Illinois Commerce Commission)

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by  
Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, CSR License #084-001662

1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)

2 MR. JOHN J. REICHART  
3 160 North La Salle Street  
4 Chicago Illinois 60601

5 (Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the  
6 Illinois Commerce Commission via  
7 teleconference)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I N D E XWITNESSESDIRECTCROSSREDIRECTRECROSS

(None)

EXHIBITSMARKEDADMITTED

(None)

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket Number 02-0565. This docket concerns a petition filed by Union Electric Company, doing business as AmerenUE. AmerenUE seeks approval of a decommissioning rider, cost estimates, and a funding level for its Nuclear Decommissioning Trust Fund.

May I have the appearances for the record, please.

MR. HENNEN: On behalf of Union Electric Company, doing business as AmerenUE, David Hennen. My business address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.

MS. VON QUALEN: Janis Von Qualen and John Reichart, on behalf of the Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission, 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701.

JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

Let the record reflect that there are no others wishing to enter an appearance.

Prior to today's hearing I received Staff's

1 testimony, prefiled testimony in this matter. There  
2 appear to be some issues, so to speak. At least  
3 Staff has some recommended adjustments.

4 Prior to going on the record we discussed a  
5 schedule for the testimony for the remainder of this  
6 proceeding, and it appears that both sides agree  
7 that on January 24th UE would submit rebuttal  
8 testimony, on February 19th Staff would submit  
9 rebuttal testimony, and on March 7th UE would submit  
10 surrebuttal testimony, and that we would reconvene  
11 this matter on March 18th at 10:00 A.M. for the  
12 evidentiary hearing. As I believe we established  
13 last time, these are all receipt dates, and  
14 submission by e-mail is acceptable to everyone I  
15 believe. Correct me if I'm wrong.

16 MR. HENNEN: That's correct from Ameren.

17 MS. VON QUALEN: That's correct.

18 JUDGE ALBERS: Does anyone have any other  
19 questions or comments regarding the schedule or any  
20 other matter?

21 MR. HENNEN: Nothing from Ameren.

22 MS. VON QUALEN: Staff has nothing further.

1           JUDGE ALBERS: Well, if there's nothing further  
2 then, I will continue this matter to March 18th at  
3 10:00 A.M..

4                   (Whereupon the case was continued to  
5 March 18, 2003, at 10:00 A.M. in  
6 Springfield, Illinois.)  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22